

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,354	02/24/2004	Joseph H. Morgan	1855350-2 1417	
22824 75	590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER	
DONALD R. SCHOONOVER 4211 ROLLING HILLS DRIVE			HAYES, BRET C	
NIXA, MO 6			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			3644	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	. /
	10/785,354	MORGAN ET AL.	X
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bret C Hayes	3644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		y merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 3-8 and 10-13 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,9 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	stage
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/24/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said body" in section d), subsection (6), line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. This should be --said body unit--.
- 4. Claim 2 recites the limitations "the flexed configuration" in section k), line 5, and "the unflexed configuration" in lines 8 and 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim. These should be --the flexed condition-- and --the unflexed condition--, respectively.
- Claim 9 recites multiple limitations, including but not limited to: "the handle portion" (3X) in section a), subsection (6), lines 1 and 2, subsection (7), line 2, and section b), subsection (1), lines 3 and 4; "the wall" (6X) in section b), subsection (1), lines 1 and 3, subsection (2), line 1, section b), subsection (2), line 1, section d), subsection (1), lines 1 and 3, and subsection (2), line 1; "the second wall" in section c), lines 3 and 4; "the signal section" (5X) in section c), line 4, section d), subsection (6), lines 1 and 2, sub-subsection (A), line 2, sub-subsection (E), lines 1 and 2, and sub-subsection (E), sub-sub-subsection (i), line 2; "the handle section" in section d), subsection (1), line 4; "the first wall" (2X) in section d), subsection (6), sub-subsection (A), line 1, and sub-subsection (E), sub-sub-subsection (i), lines 1 and 2; and, *["the body unit is in the

Application/Control Number: 10/785,354

Art Unit: 3644

unflexed condition" (2X) in sub-subsection (G), lines 7 and 8, and section e), lines 4 and 5; "the body unit is in the flexed condition" in sub-subsection (G), line 13, and section e), lines 12 and 13]*. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim. Examiner has attempted to discover all instances, but caution should be used when revisiting and revising the claims for proper antecedent basis resolution. *Regarding the use of the flexed and unflexed condition above, while the intermediate member is a portion of what makes up the body unit, proper antecedent basis would dictate that the intermediate member is the only portion positively recited to be flexible between those two conditions, and not the body unit itself. Arguments in opposition to the examiner's assertion would be considered.

6. Claim 14 recites the limitation "the at least one signal device...is positioned in...the second container" in lines 1 – 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Examiner notes that claim 10, section c), recites, "at least one signal device in the first container". There is no basis to broaden the limitation to include the second container.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 3 8 and 10 13 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 1, 2, 9 and 14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record discloses a fishing rod comprising a body unit as claimed, a power system as claimed, and a signal control system. However, the prior art of record neither discloses nor fairly teaches the recited limitations of the claimed invention including, but not limited to: a signal (light-emitting, sound-emitting, vibratory) element making an electrical connection upon flexing

Application/Control Number: 10/785,354

Art Unit: 3644

of an electrically conductive pin and a coil spring relative to each other where electrical contact

Page 4

is made between these two elements during that flexing, and, subsequently broken when not

flexing relative to each other.

10. This statement is not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the

details why the claims are allowed and has not been written to specifically or impliedly state that

all the reasons for allowance are set forth (MPEP 1302.14).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bret Hayes at

telephone number (703) 306 – 0553. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through

Friday from 5:30 am to 3:00 pm, Eastern Standard Time.

If attempts to contact the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Teri Luu, can be reached at (703) 305 – 7421. The fax number is (703) 872 – 9306.

bh

11/14/04

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY

PRIMARY EXAMINER